arXiv:1611.01581v1 [math.AG] 5 Nov 2016

A STRONGLY GEOMETRIC GENERAL RESIDUAL INTERSECTION

SHIHOKO ISHII AND WENBO NIU

Dedicated to Professor Lawrence Ein on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

Abstract. In this paper, we prove a formula of Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf and log canonical thresholds for a general residual intersection as well as an equality of minimal log discrepancies under a general link. We also prove an evidence that MJ-singularities can be preserved under a general residual intersection.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to show how general equations can be chosen to produce a residual intersection for a variety and to investigate what properties and invariants of singularities can be preserved under this procedure. The concept of a residual intersection was introduced by Artin-Nagata [1] in 1972. Its important case, namely linkage or liaison, was systematically studied by Peskine-Szpiro [17] to initiate the modern research of this area. The geometric idea behind the notion of a residual intersection is pretty natural: roughly speaking, any two varieties can be viewed as a residual intersection to each other in their union. When they have the same dimension, they are linked; otherwise, the one of smaller dimension is the residual intersection of another one.

More precisely, let X be a closed subvariety of a nonsingular variety A of codimension c. By choosing t equations from the defining ideal I_X of X, we can define a closed subscheme $M \subset A$ containing X. Then the closure of $M \setminus X$ can be thought of as a t-residual intersection of X. Certainly, the different choice of these t equations results in different residual intersections. Among all possible residual intersections, it would be natural to expect that the one given by general equations would have the most typical behavior. This is the idea that motivates our research in this paper.

In the work by Huneke and Ulrich ([12], [8], [11], [9], [10], etc), the rigorous notions of generic linkage and residual intersection have been established and many fundamental properties have been proved. One of the central problems is to understand how algebraic or geometric properties of a given variety can be preserved under the procedure of generic linkage or residual intersection. As for singularities, it has been showed in the second author's work [15] and [16] that singularities such as rational, log-canonical and MJ-singularities can be preserved under generic linkage. However, it is not clear if similar results can be established for more general situation of residual intersections.

In this paper, we focus ourselves on studying singularities and their invariants under a general residual intersection. To put the problem in perspective, we first give a construction of a general residual intersection, where our residual intersection is slightly different from the one defined by Huneke-Ulrich. Then based on techniques from resolutions of singularities, we discuss a couple of problems related to Grauert-Riemenschneider (GR) canonical sheaf, log canonical thresholds and minimal log discrepancies under a general residual intersection.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C40, 14M06.

Key words and phrases. linkage, log canonical thresholds, Mather-Jacobian singularities, residual intersection.

Recall that $X \subset A$ is a closed subvariety. Let Y be a general t-residual intersection (see Definition 2.1) with $t \geq c$. We prove in Theorem 3.4 that the GR canonical sheaf of Y is

$$\omega_Y^{GR} = \mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t) \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y \otimes \omega_A,$$

where $\mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t)$ is the multiplier ideal associated to the pair (A, I_X^t), and the log canonical threshold of Y increase, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{lct}(A, Y) \geq \operatorname{lct}(A, X).$$

If we impose an extra condition that X is locally a complete intersection with rational singularities, the result of Chardin-Ulrich [2, Theorem 3.13] implies that Y has rational singularities. In this case, the GR canonical sheaf ω_Y^{RG} is the same as the canonical sheaf ω_Y and therefore we obtain a formula for the canonical sheaf of a general residual intersection (Corollary 3.5).

Turning to the local case, let $x \in X$ be a closed point. In Theorem 3.1, we show how general equations can be chosen to compute the minimal log discrepancies at x. In particular, in Corollary 3.2, we prove that if a complete intersection M is defined by c general equations $a_1, \cdots, a_c$ of I_X, then

$$\operatorname{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; X, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \operatorname{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; M, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \operatorname{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; H, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}),$$

where the hypersurface H is defined by the product $a_1 \cdots a_c$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is a formal product of ideals. Hence the computation of minimal log discrepancies can be reduced to the complete intersection case or, even better, the hypersurface case.

At the end of the paper, we prove an evidence (Proposition 3.7) for a conjecture that MJ-singularities can be preserved by a general residual intersection (Conjecture 3.8). The notion of MJ-singularities was introduced by Ishii, De Fernex-Docampo, and Ein-Ishii-Mustaţă ([13], [3], [5], and [4]). The advantage of this notion is that it can be established for arbitrary varieties without requiring normality and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorensteiness. We hope that the method in this paper can be useful for this conjecture.

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to Bernd Ulrich for valuable discussions. The second author would like to thank the University of Tokyo for the hospitality of visiting.

2. General residual intersections

Throughout the paper, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. By a variety we mean an equidimensional reduced scheme of finite type over k.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a closed subscheme of an nonsingular affine variety $A = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with ideal sheaf I_X. Let $I_M = (a_1, \cdots, a_t) \subseteq I_X$ such that it defines a closed subscheme M of A. Let Y be the closure of $M \setminus X$ (denoted by $Y = \overline{M \setminus X}$), where the closure of $M \setminus X$ is the closed subscheme defined by the coherent ideal sheaf maximal among ideal sheaves whose restriction on $A \setminus X$ coincide with the ideal sheaf of $M \setminus X$. If $t = \operatorname{codim} Y \geq \operatorname{codim} X$, then Y is called a *t-residual intersection*.

Remark 2.2. Huneke and Ulrich defined a t-residual intersection in a different way ([12]): the closed subscheme defined by $J = (I_M : I_X)$ is called a t-residual intersection if $\operatorname{ht}(J) \geq t \geq \operatorname{ht}(I_X)$. Let t be an integer such that $t \geq \operatorname{codim}(X, A)$, if X satisfies G_t and strongly Cohen-Macaulay, then our residual intersection is Cohen-Macaulay and coincides with the one defined by Huneke-Ulrich. This is proved by applying [8, Theorem 3.1].

Remark 2.3. Our definition of a residual intersection is in some sense "geometric", so it would be nice to call it a geometric t-residual intersection. But the terminology "geometric residual intersection" is already used for a different meaning in [12]. Therefore the secondly

nice way is to call it a "strongly geometric t-residual intersection" as is written in the title of this paper. But it is too long to be used frequently, so in this paper we call it just "general residual intersection".

We are interested in understanding how properties of a variety can be "transfered" to its t-residual intersection. Certainly, in Definition 2.1, a different choice of the generators of I_M will result in a different t-residual intersection. It would be wonderful if the desired properties can be established for all t-residual intersections under every possible choice of I_M. But more realistically, we would like to investigate so called "general" t-residual intersection with respect to a generating set of I_X. We make this point clear in the following construction.

Construction 2.4. Let X be a closed subscheme of a nonsingular affine variety $A = \operatorname{Spec} R$ defined by an ideal I_X. Fix a generating set $\underline{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ of I_X. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a property that we are interested in. For $t \geq \operatorname{codim}_A X$, by saying that a general t-residual intersection Y with respect to $\underline{f}$ has property $\mathscr{P}$ we mean the following: define the ideal

$$I_M := (a_1, \cdots, a_t) = (c_{i,j}) \cdot (f_1, \cdots, f_r)^T,$$

in which

$$a_i := c_{i,1}f_1 + c_{i,2}f_2 + \cdots + c_{i,r}f_r, \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

and $(c_{i,j})$ is a $t \times r$ matrix with elements in k, then there is an open set U in $\mathbb{A}^{t\times r}$ such that for any $(c_{i,j}) \in U$, the corresponding t-residual intersection $Y = \overline{M \setminus X}$ has property $\mathscr{P}$. By saying that a general t-residual intersection Y has property $\mathscr{P}$ without mentioning $\underline{f}$, we mean that it is true for any choice of a generating set $\underline{f}$.

The main framework in this paper is to use resolution of singularities to turn a variety into a divisor and then choose general equations by using Bertini's theorem to produce a t-residual intersection. The crucial point of this approach is that it automatically yields a resolution of singularities of the residual intersection. We show this in the following construction.

Construction 2.5. Let X be a closed subscheme of a nonsingular affine variety $A = \operatorname{Spec} R$ defined by an ideal I_X. Fix a generating set $\underline{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ of I_X. So we have a surjective morphism

$$v : \bigoplus^{r} \mathscr{O}_A \xrightarrow{f_1,\cdots,f_r} I_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Take a log resolution $\varphi : A_1 \to A$ of I_X such that $I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E)$ where E is an effective divisor such that $\operatorname{Exc}(\varphi) \cup E$ is a divisor with simple normal crossing (snc) supports. Pulling back by φ, we obtain a surjective morphism

$$\overline{v} : \bigoplus^{r} \mathscr{O}_{A_1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^*f_1,\cdots,\varphi^*f_r} \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So the linear system $\varphi^*|f_1, \cdots, f_r|$ has E as its base locus and therefore it can be decomposed as

$$\varphi^*|f_1, \cdots, f_r| = W + E,$$

in which W is a base point free linear system on A_1. Take t general elements $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t$ from W and each α_i defines an nonsingular effective divisor F_i on A_1 by Bertini's theorem. So we have

(1) the sections $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t$ cut out a nonsingular closed subscheme Y_1 (could be reducible or empty) of A_1 of codimension t;
(2) the closed subscheme Y_1 meets E and $\operatorname{exc}(\varphi)$ transversally;
(3) the sections $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t$ correspond to elements $a_1, \cdots, a_t$ in the vector space $\langle f_1, \cdots, f_r\rangle$.

Set $I_M = (a_1, \cdots, a_t)$ and call the subscheme $Y = \overline{M \setminus X}$ as a *general t-residual intersection* (with respect to $\underline{f}$). Note also that

(4) the restriction $\varphi|_{Y_1} : Y_1 \to Y$ is a resolution of singualrities of Y;
(5) $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = I_{Y_1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E)$.

Remark 2.6. (1) In Construction 2.5, by Bertini's theorem, we can obtain an open set U such that for any choice of the $t \times r$ matrix $(c_{i,j})$ the corresponding general t-residual intersection Y satisfies the listed properties (1)-(5). Once a property $\mathscr{P}$ is imposed, we need to further shrink this open set U to get the desired one in Construction 2.4. This kind of argument should be clear from the context, so we do not always mention it explicitly.

(2) Roughly speaking, our Construction 2.4 can be viewed as a specialization of the generic residual intersection constructed in [12].

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a closed subscheme of an affine nonsingular variety A. Let Y be a general t-residual intersection of X. Then Y is empty if and only if $\overline{I_M} = \overline{I_X}$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes the integral closure of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. We use the notation in Construction 2.5. If Y is empty, then Y_1 must be empty. This in turn implies that $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1}$. Therefore $\overline{I_M} = \overline{I_X}$ since $\overline{I_M} = \varphi_*(I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1})$ and $\overline{I_X} = \varphi_*(I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1})$. On the other hand, assume $\overline{I_M} = \overline{I_X}$. Denote by A^+ the normalization of the blowup of A along X. We have $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A^+} = I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A^+}$. This implies that on $A \setminus X$, $I_M = \mathscr{O}_A$ so Y is empty. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a closed subscheme of an affine nonsingular variety A defined by an ideal I_X and let $\underline{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_r)$ be a generating set of I_X. Let Y be a general t-residual intersection with respect to $\underline{f}$ as in Construction 2.5. Consider the regular map*

$$\psi : A \setminus X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^r$$

induced by the regular functions $f_1, \cdots, f_r$. Let V be the closure of the image of ψ.

(1) *If V is not a cone in $\mathbb{A}^r$, then Y is nonempty if and only if* $\dim k[f_1, \cdots, f_r] \geq t$.
(2) *If V is a cone in $\mathbb{A}^r$, then Y is nonempty if and only if* $\dim k[f_1, \cdots, f_r] > t$.

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathbb{A}^r \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the canonical projection and let $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the image of V by π. Then $\dim W = \dim V + 1$ if V is a cone in $\mathbb{A}^r$, while $\dim W = \dim V$ otherwise. A pull-back of general t hyperplane cuts by $\psi^{-1} \circ \pi^{-1}$ gives $(A \setminus X) \cap M$ for general M. Therefore, Y is non-empty if and only if $\dim W \geq t$. This is equivalent to that $\dim V \geq t + 1$ if V is a cone in $\mathbb{A}^r$ and $\dim V \geq t$ if V is not a cone in $\mathbb{A}^r$. The proposition follows from $V = \operatorname{Spec} k[f_1, \cdots, f_r]$. □

Example 2.9. For every closed subscheme $X \subset \mathbb{A}^N$, there is a generator system $\underline{g}$ of I_X such that, for any t with $\operatorname{codim}(X, \mathbb{A}^N) \leq t \leq N - 1$, a general t-residual intersection of X is nonempty. Indeed, if a generator system $\underline{f} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ is given, then we can add more generators of form $x_1 f_1, x_2 f_1, \ldots, x_N f_1$ to obtain a bigger generator system $\underline{g}$. Then,

$$\dim k[f_1, \ldots, f_r, x_1 f_1, x_2 f_1, \ldots, x_N f_1] = N,$$

where $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N$ are the coordinate functions of $\mathbb{A}^N$. By the proposition above, for any t with $\operatorname{codim}(X, \mathbb{A}^N) \leq t \leq N - 1$, a general t-residual intersection is not empty.

Example 2.10. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ be the cone over two skew lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Note that it is a two dimensional variety defined by the ideal $I_X = (xz, xw, yz, yw)$. First take the generator system $\underline{f} = \{xz, xw, yz, yw\}$. Then, we observe that the closure V of the image of

$$\psi : \mathbb{A}^4 \setminus X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^4$$

is a cone and has dimension 3. Then, a general 3-residual intersection of X with respect to $\underline{f}$ is empty by the Proposition 2.8. We can also calculate this directly by solving the equations.

Next, we take another generator system $\underline{g} = \{xz, xw, yz, yw, x(xz), y(xz), z(xz), w(xz)\}$ as in the previous example. Then, $\dim k[\ \underline{g}\ ] = 4$ and a general 3-residual intersection of X with respect to $\underline{g}$ is a curve.

3. Invariants of singularities under a general residual intersection

In this section, we prove our main results about general residual intersections. We start with recalling some basic definitions. Let X and Z be subvarieties of a nonsingular variety A. Take a log resolution $f : A' \to A$ of $I_X \cdot I_Z$ such that $f^{-1}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i E_i$, $f^{-1}(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} z_i E_i$ and the relative canonical divisor $K_{A'/A} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i E_i$. The *log canonical threshold* of (A, X) is defined to be

$$\mathrm{lct}(A, X) = \min_i \left\{ \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i} \right\}.$$

Having fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we also define the *generalized log canonical threshold* of $(A, X; \lambda Z)$ by

$$\mathrm{glct}(A, X; \lambda Z) = \min \left\{ \frac{k_i + 1 + \lambda z_i}{a_i} \right\}.$$

For $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the *multiplier ideal sheaf* $\mathscr{I}(A, cX)$ associated to the pair (A, cX) is defined by

$$\mathscr{I}(A, cX) = f_* \mathscr{O}_{A'}(K_{A'/A} - \lfloor c \sum a_i E_i \rfloor),$$

where $\lfloor c \sum a_i E_i \rfloor$ is the round down of the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $c \sum a_i E_i$.

Let W be a proper closed subset of A and let $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathfrak{a}_1^{m_1} \mathfrak{a}_2^{m_2} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_n^{m_n}$ be a formal product of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \mathscr{O}_A$ with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. We define the *minimal log discrepancy* of $(A, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}})$ along W as

$$\mathrm{mld}(W; A, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \inf_{C_A(E) \subseteq W} \{ a(E; A, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) + 1 \mid E \text{ a prime divisor over } A \}$$

where $a(E; A, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}})$ is the discrepancy of E and $C_A(E)$ is the center of E in A. We use the convention that if $\dim A = 1$ and $\mathrm{mld}(W; A, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}})$ is negative, then we set it as $-\infty$. For more details on the invariants we defined above, we refer to, for instance, the work [6].

Our first main result is to show that minimal log discrepancies can be computed by using a complete intersection or a hypersurface. This result sheds the light on applying linkage theory to the study of singularities.

Theorem 3.1. *Let x be a closed point of a nonsingular affine variety A. Let $I \subseteq \mathscr{O}_A$ be an ideal generated by $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1^{m_1} \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2^{m_2} \cdots \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_n^{m_n}$ be a formal product of ideals $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_i \subseteq \mathscr{O}_A$ with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$. Then for $t \geq 1$ general elements $a_1, \cdots, a_t$ in the vector space $\langle f_1, \cdots, f_r \rangle$, the ideals $I_M = (a_1, \cdots, a_t)$ and $I_H = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_t)$ satisfy the following properties.*

There exist a log resolution $\varphi : A_1 \to A$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}} \cdot I \cdot I_H \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x$ and a log resolution $\psi : A_2 \to A$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}} \cdot I \cdot I_M \cdot I_H \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x$ such that ψ factors through φ, i.e., $\psi = \varphi \circ \mu$, and μ_* induces a one-to-one correspondence

$$\{\text{prime divisors in } A_2 \text{ with center } x\} \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \{\text{prime divisors in } A_1 \text{ with center } x\}.$$

Furthermore, for a prime divisor $F \subset A_1$ with center x and a real number $0 \leq \lambda \leq t$,

$$a(F; A, I^{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = a(F; A, I_M^{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = a(F; A, I_H^{\lambda/t} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}), \text{ and}$$

$$\mathrm{mld}(x; A, I^{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_M^{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_H^{\lambda/t} \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we prove the case $n = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m$. Take a log resolution $\varphi : A_1 \longrightarrow A$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot I \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x$ such that $I \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-Z)$ where E and Z are effective divisors and $\mathrm{Exc}(\varphi) \cup E \cup Z$ has a snc support. As in Construction 2.5, we have a decomposition

$$\varphi^*|f_1, \cdots, f_r| = W + E$$

where W is free linear system. Choose t general elements $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t$ in W and denote by F_i the zero locus of α_i. By Bertini's theorem, F_i can be chosen such that $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_t \cup \mathrm{Exc}(\varphi) \cup E \cup Z$ has a snc support and therefore the intersection $Y_1 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_t$ is a nonsingular subscheme (not necessarily irreducible) in A_1 of codimension t. Furthermore, the sections $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t$ correspond to the general elements $a_1, \cdots, a_t$ as desired in the vector space $\langle f_1, \cdots, f_r \rangle$ such that

$$I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = I_{Y_1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E).$$

Now blowup A_1 along Y_1 to obtain $\mu : A_2 = \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_1} A_1 \to A_1$ with an exceptional divisor G. Set $\psi = \varphi \circ \mu : A_2 \to A$. Notice that

$$K_{A_2/A_1} = (t-1)G$$

Hence we obtain

$$K_{A_2/A} - \lambda I \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_2} - m\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_2} = \mu^* K_{A_1/A} + (t-1)G - \lambda \mu^* E - m\mu^* Z, \text{ and}$$

$$K_{A_2/A} - \lambda I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_2} - m\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_2} = \mu^* K_{A_1/A} - (t-1-\lambda)G - \lambda \mu^* E - m\mu^* Z.$$

We shall use [6, Proposition 7.2] to compute mld at x. First notice that, if a prime divisor with center x appears in A_1 then it must appear in A_2 and vice versa, which gives the one-to-one correspondence in the proposition. Let $F \subset A_1$ be such a prime divisor with center x. Then clearly F is not contained in Y_1 and therefore F is not contained in G. Hence for any real number $0 \leq \lambda \leq t$, we have $a(F; A, I^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m) = a(F; A, I_M^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m)$.

Next, we consider those prime divisors whose center contains $\{x\}$ as a proper subset. Let F be such a prime divisor. We have two possibilities. First, F is contained in G. In this case, F cannot be in the support of $\mu^* K_{A_1/A} \cup \mu^* E \cup \mu^* Z$. So we have

$$a(F; A, I^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m) = \mathrm{ord}_F K_{A_2/A} - \lambda \,\mathrm{ord}_F I - m \,\mathrm{ord}_F \tilde{\mathfrak{a}} + 1 = (t-1) + 1 \geq 0, \text{ and}$$

$$a(F; A, I_M^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m) = \mathrm{ord}_F K_{A_2/A} - \lambda \,\mathrm{ord}_F I_M - m \,\mathrm{ord}_F \tilde{\mathfrak{a}} + 1 = (t-1-\lambda) + 1 \geq 0.$$

Secondly, F appears in A_2 but is not contained in G. In this case, F must also appear in A_1. This implies $\mathrm{ord}_F I = \mathrm{ord}_F I_M$ and therefore $a(F; A, I^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m) = a(F; A, I_M^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m)$ (could be negative).

Thus all above implies that

$$\mathrm{mld}(x; A, I^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_M^\lambda \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^m),$$

as desired.

For the case of $I_H = (a_1 \cdots a_t)$, we notice that φ is a log resolution of $I \cdot I_H \cdot \mathfrak{m}_x$ such that

$$I_H \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = F_1 + \cdots + F_t + tE.$$

Hence

$$K_{A_1/A} - \frac{\lambda}{t} \cdot I_H \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} - m\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = K_{A_1/A} - \frac{\lambda}{t} F_1 - \cdots - \frac{\lambda}{t} F_t - \lambda E - mZ.$$

Now for a prime divisor F contained in some F_i, the center $C_A(F)$ cannot be x and hence

$$\mathrm{ord}_F K_{A_1/A} - \frac{\lambda}{t} \,\mathrm{ord}_F \cdot I_H - m \,\mathrm{ord}_F \tilde{\mathfrak{a}} + 1 = -\frac{\lambda}{t} + 1 \geq 0.$$

This prove the last equality. □

As a quick corollary, we see that at a fixed point, minimal log discrepancies are preserved under a general link. For a related result without fixing a point, we refer to [16].

Corollary 3.2. *If $I = I_X$ is the ideal of a closed subvariety $X \subseteq A$ of codimension c and $t = \lambda = c$, then the closed subscheme M defined by I_M is a complete intersection containing X and*

$$\mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_X^c \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_M^c \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_H \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}),$$

which yields

$$\mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; X, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; M, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; H, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}),$$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ denotes the restrictions of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ on X, M and H. Also the prime divisors which compute the minimal log discrepancies are common. Moreover, let $Y = \overline{M \setminus X}$, then

$$\mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; Y, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_Y^c \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) \geq \mathrm{mld}(x; A, I_X^c \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; X, \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Remark 3.3. In [4, Theorem 5.6, (ii)], it is proved that a two dimensional non-complete intersection MJ-log canonical variety X has a complete intersection model M, i.e., M is a complete intersection containing X and

$$\mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; X) = \mathrm{mld}_{\mathrm{MJ}}(x; M) = 0.$$

Therefore, Corollary 3.2 is a generalization of [4, Theorem 5.6, (ii)].

In the second main result, we establish a formula of Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf of a general residual intersection. One of the central topics in the study of residual intersection is to obtain a formula for canonical sheaves, which is usually difficult. However, Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf is always contained in a canonical sheaf and they are the same under certain conditions. We also give a description of log canonical thresholds as well as its generalized form under general residual intersection, which says that after doing residual intersections, singularities get improved.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a closed subvariety of an affine nonsingular variety A and let Y be a general t-residual intersection of X. If Y is nonempty, then one has*

(1) $\omega_Y^{GR} = \mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t) \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y \otimes \omega_A$.
(2) $\mathrm{lct}(A, Y) \geq \mathrm{lct}(A, M) = \mathrm{lct}(A, X)$.
(3) $\mathrm{glct}(A, Y; (t-c)X) \geq \mathrm{glct}(A, M; (t-c)X) = \mathrm{lct}(A, X) + (t-c)$.

Proof. We use the notation in Construction 2.5. Now the sections α_i give a surjective morphism

$$\bigoplus^t \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_t} I_{Y_1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since Y_1 is a complete intersection in A_1, restricting the above map onto Y_1 we obtain the conormal bundle of Y_1 inside A_1 as

$$N_{Y_1/A_1}^* = \bigoplus^t \mathscr{O}_{Y_1}(E).$$

Taking the determinant of N_{Y_1/A_1}^* and tensoring with ω_{A_1} yields the dualizing sheaf of Y_1 as

$$\omega_{Y_1} = \omega_{A_1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Y_1}(-tE).$$

We also have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_{Y_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{A_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y_1} \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces an short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_{Y_1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE) \longrightarrow \omega_{Y_1} \otimes \varphi^* \omega_A^{-1} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.4.1}$$

We further blowup A_1 along Y_1 as $\mu : \tilde{A} = \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_1} A_1 \to A$ with an exceptional divisor T on $\tilde{A}$ such that $I_{Y_1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T)$. Write $\psi = \varphi \circ \mu : \tilde{A} \to A$ and set

$$\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T) \otimes \mu^*(\mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE)).$$

Claim 3.4.2. One has $R^1\varphi_*\mathscr{G} = 0$.

Proof of Claim: Note that $\mu_*\mathscr{G} = I_{Y_1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE)$ and for $i > 0$, $R^i\mu_*\mathscr{G} = R^i\mu_*\mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE) = 0$. On the other hand, notice that $K_{\tilde{A}/A_1} = (t-1)T$ and $K_{\tilde{A}/A} = K_{\tilde{A}/A_1} + \mu^* K_{A_1/A}$ so we have

$$\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T) \otimes \mu^*(\mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE)) = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(K_{\tilde{A}/A} - t(T + \mu^* E)).$$

But by construction, $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1} = I_{Y_1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(-E)$ and therefore $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T - \mu^* E)$. Hence we obtain that $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(K_{\tilde{A}/A} - t(I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}))$. By Local Vanishing Theorem [14, 9.4.1], we then obtain that $R^i\psi_*(\mathscr{G}) = 0$, for $i > 0$. Now we use the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p\varphi_* R^q\mu_*\mathscr{G} \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(\varphi \circ \mu)_*(\mathscr{G})$$

to get that $R^1\varphi_*\mathscr{G} = 0$, completing the proof of claim.

Now we prove (1). For this, we push down the short exact sequence (3.4.1) and use the claim above. So we obtain an short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varphi_*(I_{Y_1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(K_{A_1/A} - tE)) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t) \longrightarrow \omega_Y^{GR} \otimes \omega_A^{-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This implies that $\mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t) \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y = \omega_Y^{GR} \otimes \omega_A^{-1}$, which gives rise to the desired formula by tensoring with ω_A.

For (2), recall that $K_{\tilde{A}/A} = (t-1)T + \mu^* K_{A_1/A}$, $I_M \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-T - \mu^* E)$, and $I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}} = \mathscr{O}_{\tilde{A}}(-\mu^* E)$. In order to compute $\mathrm{lct}(A, M)$, we consider prime divisors on $\tilde{A}$. For the divisor T, we have

$$\frac{k_T + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_T I_M} = \frac{t - 1 + 1}{1} = t.$$

For any prime divisor $F \neq T$ on $\tilde{A}$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_F I_M = \mathrm{ord}_F I_X$ and hence

$$\frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_M} = \frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_X}.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{lct}(A, M) = \min_{F \neq T}\{t, \frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_M}\} = \min_{F \neq T}\{t, \frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_X}\} = \min\{t, \mathrm{lct}(A, X)\}.$$

But since X is generically smooth, we have $\mathrm{lct}(A, X) \leq c \leq t$. So we obtain that $\mathrm{lct}(A, M) = \mathrm{lct}(A, X)$. Finally since $I_M \subseteq I_Y$, we then have $\mathrm{lct}(A, Y) \geq \mathrm{lct}(A, M) = \mathrm{lct}(A, X)$.

For (3), we continue to work on $\tilde{A}$. For the divisor T, we have

$$\frac{k_T + \mathrm{ord}_T I_X^{t-c} + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_T I_M} = \frac{t - 1 + 1}{1} = t.$$

For any prime divisor $F \neq T$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_F I_M = \mathrm{ord}_F I_X$ and therefore

$$\frac{k_F + \mathrm{ord}_F I_X^{t-c} + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_M} = \frac{k_F + (t-c)\,\mathrm{ord}_F I_X + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_X} = \frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_X} + (t - c).$$

Hence we see

$$\mathrm{glct}(A, M; (t-c)I_X) = \min_{F \neq T}\{t, \frac{k_F + 1}{\mathrm{ord}_F I_X} + (t - c)\} = \min\{t, \mathrm{lct}(A, X) + (t - c)\}.$$

Since X is a variety of codimension c, we have $\mathrm{lct}(A,X) \le c$ and therefore $\mathrm{lct}(A,X)+(t-c) \le t$. Hence $\mathrm{glct}(A,M;(t-c)I_X) = \mathrm{lct}(A,X)+(t-c)$, from which the result follows. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a closed subvariety in an affine nonsingular variety A. Assume that X is locally a complete intersection with rational singularities. Then a general t-residual intersection Y of X with $t \ge \mathrm{codim}_A X$ has rational singularities and*

$$\omega_Y = \mathscr{I}(A, I^t) \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y \otimes \omega_A.$$

Proof. Using [2, Theorem 3.13], Y has rational singularities and therefore $\omega_Y^{GR} = \omega_Y$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.4. □

The following proposition describes the singularities of a general t-residual intersection of a nonsingular variety. The statement (1) is proved in [2, Theorem 3.13] and (2) is proved in [7, Corollary 8.1.4.]. Here we prove them in different ways; along the line of the construction of general residual intersections.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a nonsingular closed subvariety of a nonsingular affine variety A and let Y be a general t-residual intersection of X. If Y is nonempty, then*

(1) *Y has rational singularities.*
(2) $\mathrm{codim}_Y \mathrm{Sing}(Y) \ge t-c+4$.
(3) $\omega_Y = I_X^{t-c+1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y \otimes \omega_A$.

Proof. We use Construction 2.5. Since X is nonsingular, we can assume X is irreducible. We can take A_1 as the blowup of A along X and therefore the divisor E is irreducible. Since Y_1 is a complete intersection in A_1, we have a Koszul resolution of $\mathscr{O}_{Y_1}$ as follows

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(tE) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus^t \mathscr{O}_{A_1}(E) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{A_1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y_1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that $R^i\varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{A_1}(jE) = 0$ for $i > 0$, $0 \le j \le c-1$ and $R^i\varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{A_1}(jE) = 0$ for $i \ge c$ and $j \ge 0$. Hence pushing down the complex above, we deduce that $R^i\varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{Y_1} = 0$ for $i > 0$ and the map $\mathscr{O}_A \to \varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{Y_1}$ is surjective as well. But we have a natural subjection $\mathscr{O}_A \to \mathscr{O}_Y$ through which the map $\mathscr{O}_A \to \varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{Y_1}$ factors, i.e., $\mathscr{O}_A \to \mathscr{O}_Y \to \varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{Y_1}$. Hence we conclude that $\varphi_*\mathscr{O}_{Y_1} = \mathscr{O}_Y$ and therefore Y has rational singularities.

It is clear that $\mathrm{Sing}(Y) \subseteq X \cap Y$. For any $x \in X$, denote by E_x the fiber of the map $\varphi|_E : E \to X$. We have the following three cases for the intersection $Y_1 \cap E_x$.

(a) $Y_1 \cap E_x = \emptyset$, which is equivalent to $x \notin X \cap Y$.
(b) $Y_1 \cap E_x$ is a closed point, which implies that $x \in X \cap Y$ and Y is nonsingular at x.
(c) $Y_1 \cap E_x$ has dimension ≥ 1.

Since $E = \mathbb{P}(I_X/I_X^2)$, the t general sections give t sections in $H^0(\mathscr{O}_E(1))$. Consider the map

$$\psi : \bigoplus^t \mathscr{O}_X \longrightarrow I_X/I_X^2$$

induced by the t sections, we see that the locus of point x satisfying case (c) is inside

$$\sigma_{c-2} = \{x \in X | \operatorname{rank} \psi \otimes k(x) \le c-2\}.$$

Hence $\mathrm{Sing}(Y) \subseteq \sigma_{c-2}$. But codimension of σ_{c-2} in X is $(t-(c-2))(c-(c-2))$. So we obtain $\mathrm{codim}_Y \mathrm{Sing}(Y) \ge t-c+4$.

Now for (3), since X is nonsingular, the multiplier ideal $\mathscr{I}(A, I_X^t) = I_X^{t-c+1}$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 and Y having rational singularities that $\omega_Y = \omega_Y^{GR} = I_X^{t-c+1} \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y \otimes \omega_A$.

□

At the end of this section, we discuss which singularities might be preserved under a general residual intersection. Chardin-Ulrich's result ([2]) says that a general residual intersection of a local complete intersection with rational singularities also has rational singularities. A complete answer on rational singularities under general link has be given in [15]. However, the situation is not clear for a general residual intersection. We point out that a local complete intersection with rational singularities has MJ-canonical singularities. In the generic linkage case, it has been proved that MJ-singularities are preserved. So it is reasonable to expect a similar result can be established for a general residual intersection. Along this line, we prove the following evidence.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a closed subvariety of dimension ≤ 3 of a nonsingular affine variety A. Assume that X is locally a complete intersection with MJ-log canonical (resp, MJ-canonical) singularities. Then a general t-residual intersection Y of X with $t \geq \operatorname{codim}_A X$ is also locally a complete intersection with MJ-log canonical (resp. MJ-canonical) singularities.*

Proof. We keep using the notations in Construction 2.5. If a general t-residual intersection is empty, then there is nothing to prove. So we assume in the sequel that a general t-residual intersection is not empty. Let Y_c be a general link of X. By [9, Proposition 2.9.], Y_c is locally a complete intersection and normal. By shrinking A if necessary, we may assume Y_c is irreducible. Note that

$$\omega_{Y_c} \cong I_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{Y_c} = \mathscr{O}_{Y_c}(-Z)$$

where $Z = X \cap Y_c$. Hence $\mathscr{O}_{Y_c}(-Z)$ is invertible on Y_c. Let $i : Y_c \to A$ be the inclusion morphism. Restricting the surjective morphism v to Y_c yields a surjective morphism

$$\oplus^r \mathscr{O}_{Y_c} \xrightarrow{i^*f_1,\cdots,i^*f_r} \mathscr{O}_{Y_c}(-Z) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathscr{O}_{Y_c}(-Z)$ is invertible, we see that the linear system $i^*|f_1, \cdots, f_r|$ can be decomposed as

$$i^*|f_1, \cdots, f_r| = W_c + Z,$$

where W_c is a free linear system on Y_c. Now a general t-residual intersection Y can be obtained as the locus of $t - c$ general sections of W_c in Y_c.

If X is MJ-log canonical (resp, MJ-canonical), then so is Y_c by the main result of [16]. As Y_c is normal and locally a complete intersection, Y_c has log canonical (resp. canonical) singularities [4, Remark 2.5]. Now a Bertini type theorem can be established for a general divisor $H \in W_c$. Indeed, take a log resolution $f : \overline{Y}_c \longrightarrow Y_c$ of Y_c. The linear system f^*W_c is base point free on $\overline{Y}_c$. So we take a general member $\overline{H} \in f^*W_c$, which is nonsingular. Accordingly, $\overline{H}$ gives a divisor $H \in W_c$. By the generality of $\overline{H}$, we see that $f^*(H) = \overline{H}$ as Cartier divisors. Now the adjunction formula gives us that

$$K_{\overline{H}/H} = K_{\overline{Y}_c/Y_c}|_{\overline{H}}.$$

Thus H is log canonical (resp. canonical) if so is Y_c. Finally a general t-residual intersection Y is cut by $t - c$ general members of W_c. So by the above Bertini type theorem, we conclude that a general t-residual intersection Y is locally a complete intersection with MJ-log canonical (resp. MJ-canonical) singularities, completing the proof. □

Finally, we propose the following conjecture predicting that MJ-singularities can be preserved under a general residual intersection.

Conjecture 3.8. Let Y be a general t-residual intersection of X. Then Y is MJ-canonical (resp, MJ-log canonical) if so is X.

References

[1] M. Artin and M. Nagata, *Residual intersections in Cohen-Macaulay rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 12 (1972), pp. 307–323.

[2] M. Chardin and B. Ulrich, *Liaison and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Amer. J. Math., 124 (2002), pp. 1103–1124.

[3] T. de Fernex and R. Docampo, *Jacobian discrepancies and rational singularities*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 16 (2014), pp. 165–199.

[4] L. Ein and S. Ishii, *Singularities with respect to Mather-Jacobian discrepancies*, Com. Algebra and Noncom. Algebraic Geometry I, MSRI Publ., 67 (2015), pp. 125–168. arXiv:1310.6882.

[5] L. Ein, S. Ishii, and M. M. Mustaţă, *Multiplier ideals via Mather discrepancy*, arXiv:1107.2192, 2011.

[6] L. Ein and M. M. Mustaţă, *Jet schemes and singularities*, in Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2, vol. 80 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 505–546.

[7] H. Flenner, L. O'Carroll, and W. Vogel, *Joins and intersections*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[8] C. Huneke, *Strongly Cohen-Macaulay schemes and residual intersections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277 (1983), pp. 739–763.

[9] C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, *Divisor class groups and deformations*, Amer. J. Math., 107 (1985), pp. 1265–1303 (1986).

[10] ———, *The structure of linkage*, Ann. of Math. (2), 126 (1987), pp. 277–334.

[11] ———, *Algebraic linkage*, Duke Math. J., 56 (1988), pp. 415–429.

[12] ———, *Residual intersections*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 390 (1988), pp. 1–20.

[13] S. Ishii, *Mather discrepancy and the arc spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 63 (2013), pp. 89–111.

[14] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, vol. 49 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.

[15] W. Niu, *Singularities of generic linkage of algebraic varieties*, Amer. J. Math., 136 (2014), pp. 1665–1691.

[16] ———, *Mather-Jacobian singularities under generic linkage*, arXiv:1510.07668, (2015).

[17] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algébriques. I*, Invent. Math., 26 (1974), pp. 271–302.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tokyo Woman's Christian University, Tokyo, 167-8585, Tokyo

E-mail address: shihoko@lab.twcu.ac.jp

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

E-mail address: wenboniu@uark.edu